MIT's Moral Machine Experiment: A cautionary tale about Foreseeable societal impact

Zsófia Zvolenszky zvolenszky.zsofia@btk.elte.hu zvolenszky@nyu.edu

elte-hu.academia.edu/ZsofiaZvolenszky phil.elte.hu/zvolenszky

Department of Logic Institute of Philosophy Faculty of Humanities Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE) Budapest, Hungary



International Conference on AI Ethics in Cross-Cultural Contexts Capital Normal University Beijing, China June 20-22, 2025

Conclusions

- Shifting away from the Shared-Responsibility Question (the restricted as well as the broader version, which included Level2, partial automation) is a major loss. For society, for policymaking, for research debates and their impact on Al ethics.
- Was it **foreseeable** that the MM experiment would contribute to such a shift? **Yes.**
- It was baked into its questionnaire design!
- Substantial involvement from philosophers in Al-ethicsrelated projects, debates is needed. With attention to details of language, interpretation, conceptual clarity, research purpose and consequences so that
 - we can be more strategic about keeping important questions on the discussion table.
 - detect and counter moves that (intentionally or unintentionally) can, foreseeably, shift discussion away from the key questions.