

ZSÓFIA ZVOLENSZKY AND OLIVIA PAP-ZVOLENSZKY

JOINT ACADEMIC WORK

the first two years

<http://phil.elte.hu/zvolenszky>, [zvolenszky@elte.hu](mailto:zvolenszky@elte.hu)

for some photos, see: <http://phil.elte.hu/zvolenszky/main/jointCVpix2.pdf>

**SIMULTANEOUS PURSUIT OF WISDOM AND MOTHERHOOD**

My daughter Olivia turned 2 years old on November 12, 2015. During her lifetime, I was pursuing my career as a philosopher while mostly “staying at home” with her, on maternity leave. Actually, we often didn’t stay home: I was taking her to conferences, visits to various universities—cities, countries many of them new to me as well as to her. As soon as Olivia was 5 months old, my husband, András and I decided to set out for North America. We were told to watch out for ear pressure issues for Olivia during take-off and landing; she slept through, like a pro. For those first talks I gave after Olivia was born (at the University of Toronto, Northwestern and Yale), I still had to do considerable calculating to schedule her feedings around my presentation, so she wouldn’t miss me while I was away. (I didn’t get in the habit of pumping breast milk: there was no need as during her fully breast fed first 6 months, Olivia had maybe 3 feedings when I wasn’t around. But that didn’t mean I was being stationary: it meant I was mobile and so was she.)

In all, I have given 23 philosophy talks over the past 2 years, and Olivia accompanied me to all but one of them. She has flown 25 times. She has visited 12 countries (13 if you count flight transfers). She learned to clap at a 5-day conference in Dubrovnik that she sat (and crawled) through at 10 months of age. 3 times, conference organizers arranged for childcare on the premises. 4 times, Olivia stayed with me in the room where I was giving my talk with colleagues helping to watch her. With András (also a university professor) we tried to coordinate our talk and conference schedules, so we could travel together whenever possible; even so, Olivia and I flew as a twosome 10 times, and attended 11 conferences/colloquia without András accompanying us (twice we drove, to Łódź and to Belgrade; we also took the train from Budapest to Bratislava, Brno and Prague).

Just a bit of a background on the Hungarian maternity leave system (which is bizarrely generous by European standards even): Hungary accommodates 3 years of paid maternity (or paternity) leave, which entitles one parent to a maximum of 3 years with a decreasing payment scale. Roughly: the parent on leave receives max. 70% of his/her regular salary for the first 6 months, a maximum of about 65% of the current Hungarian average salary for another 18 months, and for the last year, a maximum of about 15% of the current Hungarian average salary. This is supposed to give an incentive for people to have more kids; in fact, as far as I can tell, it’s a significant source of imbalance-perpetuation in families. On the one hand, 99% of the time, it is mothers who go on leave, so many who have 2 or more children stay home for 6 or more years, after which they find it impossible to get reemployed in a position remotely comparable to what they left when going on leave. On the other hand, men tend to help at home far less as they take the division of labor to be such that the man works full time while the woman is paid (a considerably lesser amount) by the state to be the homemaker.

Paperwork for applying for and receiving conference funds in Hungary has, unfortunately, become extremely time-consuming and complicated over recent years. I often felt like I was caught in a juggling act to work out seemingly simple details. Some of the financial support promised for conferences where my presentation was accepted took 30 follow-up email messages and 5 months to receive. But I remained undeterred: the period before Olivia turned 2 (and was still allowed to fly in my lap, not requiring her own seat) seemed like an ideal time to fit more travelling in. Also, that was a time when I didn’t yet have to return to teaching my 4 courses per semester. (I began teaching again in September 2015.) There were conferences that would have been too expensive to secure funds for, but I was still allowed to contribute my accepted paper to the conference proceedings volume. At another conference

at the University of Kent, organizers arranged for me to give my talk over skype (not the same as being there, but still, I got some great comments from across the Channel, while sitting in my study, and got to contribute my paper to the proceedings volume). For conferences that were within a drivable distance, I coordinated with friends who were also attending, so we would drive together and in one case, even rent an apartment together, which meant I got a lot of help with keeping up with the conference and Olivia through that week.

Travelling with a baby is about logistics galore. You often need, besides a plan B, a plan C and a plan D also. For every one of our 25 flights, I bought insurance for my own plane ticket in case Olivia got suddenly ill and I needed to leave open the option of postponing the trip by a day or two; that was a safeguard we never ended up using. Also, imagine the task of preparing a week-long trip with a 10-month-old in a stair-filled city like Dubrovnik: locating a modestly priced yet baby-compatible studio apartment and a sufficiently accessible shop from which to obtain 30+ jars of baby food whose labels were in German, a language I could read. I ended up walking up and down 140 steep steps several times a day to get to and from our apartment while I was carrying Olivia strapped to my chest in a carrier plus an extra backpack filled with her baby gear as well as my stuff, including rain covers, given that we caught a very shower-heavy time. I could not have made it through that week if it weren't for colleagues (honorary aunts and uncles to Olivia, as I looked at them) helping me at many junctures: carrying the bags of baby food purchased, taking over carrying my heavy backpack whenever we went sightseeing together. I kept planning ahead to try to optimize things as much as possible: leaving baby food and supplies (diapers, toys) at the conference venue (which was in the part of Dubrovnik that was "downstairs", near the sea), keeping a stroller in a nearby "downstairs" café so I could sleep Olivia in it during the day and do some (largely) stairs-free sightseeing with her after our conference day was over.

A friend told me about an impressive policy at the University of Konstanz: for talks as well as conferences, there is a separate budget allocated that can be used for childcare purposes only. That I thought was wonderful because with that policy mothers with babies don't get blamed (consciously or unconsciously) for imposing a financial burden on the organizing department by requesting childcare; they (or others) don't have to feel as though because of the mothers, the conference registration fees of other participants go up or the cookies served during coffee breaks will be less fancy. In an academic world that remains man dominated as much as philosophy still is, especially at higher ranks and at more prestigious venues, it takes time to get the community to adjust and acknowledge the idea that a mother can *reasonably request* childcare help and other modes of accommodating her so she can participate with a baby. Having that sort of budget as in Konstanz is a great, blame-free way to speed up the adjustment process for men (and women) previously unaccustomed to such requests being regarded as legitimate. (I've heard that such designated funds are being introduced in North America also, at Yale, for example.)

Actually, I myself would have been uncertain what I could expect from conference organizers if it weren't for our first-ever joint conference, at the University of East Anglia in Norwich. Olivia was less than 4 months old when I got word that my paper was accepted. I was thrilled but could not really imagine what Olivia would be like during the conference, at 7 months old (sleeping way less, teething, eating solid foods). When I told about my situation to the chief organizers (two graduating Ph.D. students) they were enthusiastic to help me, obtaining an additional grant intended specifically for baby-sitting expenses, helping to find a reliable babysitter (our 2<sup>nd</sup> babysitter ever) and reserving an adjacent space at the conference venue for Olivia and her babysitter to hang out so I could, during breaks, breastfeed Olivia and spend a bit of time with her. That was the first time since Olivia's birth that an entire day went by without my seeing a dirty diaper, which was a nice change of pace. More importantly, it was also the first time since her birth that I could sit through and focus on conference talks others were giving and engage in discussion (it wasn't easy, I felt the rust and the sleep deprivation, but I was determined to forge on). For me, this was the perfect counterpoint to the daily routine of caring for a

baby, bringing a thrill akin to that of a vacation. That experience taught me that in the second decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, I can walk the conference trail because I won't have to walk it alone—I can have Olivia and the academic community as my helping companions.

These two years have been an exhilarating journey – for both Olivia and I. We got to spend indispensable time together while requiring limited outside help from family members accompanying us on our trips (which would have been quite costly). I called it *sustainable conferencing for a mother with a baby* (and hopefully, a couple of years down the line, we can call it sustainable conferencing for a *parent* with a baby). To make our joint conferencing work, Olivia and I minimally needed no more than flexibility on the part of the organizers and participants, to allow her to come in with me: for Olivia was pretty well behaved pretty much all the time, making noise that wasn't louder than that of a colleague dozing off and snoring away at a talk (which is not infrequent!).

That is not to say Olivia was noise-free. But I (and almost all my colleagues) considered her noise level within the bounds of acceptability given the payoff: that this way, women in academia with babies get to participate when otherwise they wouldn't be able to. (Of course, I did take Olivia out of the conference room if she got louder than her base level.) And for most colleagues of mine, in Hungary as well as abroad, Olivia was the first baby conference participant they encountered; surprisingly, that included Swedish and Norwegian philosophers. Interestingly, 2 of the total of 3 times that I got disapproving glances and remarks over Olivia's noise level at talks were at western universities and not Eastern European ones. Of course, not having a babysitter meant my ability to focus on other conference participants' talks was considerably reduced as it took intricate logistics to keep Olivia relatively noise-free; still, I managed to maintain a 60–70% attention-level, and I figured that was still well worth it as 60–70% participation is a whole lot higher than the alternative of 0% if I didn't attend.

The key bit was that (while I was taking on the bulk of the burden of figuring out how to swing a conference or talk with Olivia accompanying me, and was delighted to do so) conference organizers and participants were willing to help and accommodate us: to figure out funding for babysitting options if possible, or to allow me to bring Olivia in with me and to spread out (and then pick up) her toys in the back of the room. I got by with a little (sometimes a lot of) help from my friends and colleagues. That made me feel included and welcome in the philosophical community in a way I couldn't have been if I had had to figure out Olivia-watching all on my own.

Once I was asked: “Can't you leave Olivia with your husband to watch her?” To which I responded by explaining sustainable conferencing for a mother with a baby (the question came from another heterosexual woman): “Traditionally, family *men* can rely on their spouse watching their young child—but you and I don't have *wives*, you see.” To be sure, my husband has been sharing many aspects of baby-related duties, but (i) I didn't think *any* spouse (whether that's a man or a woman) should *automatically* be expected to fill the role of a homemaker wife from the 1960s and 1970s, (ii) András was working full time while I was on maternity leave, so for us to arrange for and cover his time away from work plus his added travel expenses would have made my attending conferences and talks the kind of luxury expense it isn't supposed to be, and (iii) while Olivia and I were pretty self-sufficient on our trips, I found it far more helpful that András helped while we were at home (sharing feeding-related tasks, play time, night duty), so I could sit down at my computer and write the talks I was planning to give and the papers I was planning to publish.

It was on this last point, about finding time to do research (read and write), that I needed the most outside help from early on, once Olivia passed the 6 months mark. Before that, I could easily put in full work days at my computer in between feedings while Olivia was asleep in her crib or in her pram in our balcony, right next to my computer station. She was, at the time, sleeping 16–20 hours per day, which means a night's worth of sleep (sort of) plus a full workday! I do realize and appreciate how lucky I was

that Olivia was such a flexible, easy baby (and I know many aren't this fortunate); but I did think her being so easy was an opportunity to seize, given that my mind so much appreciated and craved the intellectual engagement of doing philosophy. That sort of cerebral activity, for me, seemed to *complement* motherhood.

When Olivia turned 9 months, we began relying on babysitters and when she turned 1 year old, Olivia started state-run daycare, which she loves. This way, I got enough time at my computer to boost my publication record with 15 new articles over the span of 2 years (which is about 4 times the speed I had before Olivia arrived in our lives). But all this required serious teamwork with András and our babysitters. Our team also included one close relative who has been a very helpful Dr. Jekyll for several months, but occasionally let out her inner Mr. Hyde, subjecting me to intense verbal abuse, chastising me for being a bad, selfish mother who is putting her career first. (Given the specifics of climbing the academic ranks within the humanities in Hungary, I have had to focus on quantity rather than spending a longer time to place fewer papers in some of the top international journals in philosophy. Now that the requisite quantity of 50 published items is completed, quality placement of papers will be my next endeavor. And that goal seems within reach in the light of some breathtaking news I just received: in 2016, I'll be starting a Marie Curie Fellowship at the Slovak Academy of Sciences in Bratislava, just a 2-hour drive from Budapest.)

I do realize my desire for intellectual engagement may not be typical among mothers with babies. And there are many women who are amazing at being full-time mothers for 5+ years, with 2+ kids. Mine isn't the only true path, but nor is theirs. (Indeed, there is no such thing as *the* true path, here or elsewhere in life.) And—in a time when Hungarian women are pressured by society and well-meaning friends and relatives to *just* be mothers for three years, because that's the *only* way to *really, truly* be there as a mother—it bears stressing why (besides intellectual engagement and seeing Olivia thriving through all our travels and being one of the happiest children I know) I spent my first two years of motherhood the way I did. I did it

- because women vary in their lifestyle and intellectual preferences and they should be given options, choices (especially if they are in a fortunate situation like mine in having a career that is easily pursued from home);
- because a path like mine is doable and can be highly enjoyable and fulfilling, for both mother and baby (and, perhaps, in upcoming decades, for *father* and baby also); it has been for me and Olivia;
- because enrolling a child in daycare (state-run or private) can be a great choice, not a sign of negligent motherhood, as it is often portrayed, in Hungary especially;
- because often, it's a key example for a small child to be seeing her mother pursuing a career and to be witnessing the (frequently better) power balance in the family compared to a situation in which the man is the breadwinner and the woman the homemaker;
- because potentially, a little girl seeing her mom engaged in a career can contribute to her growing up to be the kind of woman who believes she can follow her dreams, with respect to both career and family (potentially, such an experience can benefit a little boy also, opening his mind to a broader spectrum of paths that women may choose to pursue);
- because I felt I could contribute to making the world a better place for female academics with babies by exposing philosophers to my own baby companion: next time they organize a conference, they'll be more willing, determined and open to accommodating a baby, by offering childcare help or allowing that the baby be brought in for the conference (as long as that causes manageable disruption, of course);
- because upon seeing me with Olivia, younger colleagues, typically women (but also men) might realize that bringing their babies along for talks and conferences is doable, it's not a given that mothers have to miss out on or radically reduce conference participation in the early months of a baby's life;

- because I also felt I could contribute to making the world a better place for generations of women growing up today, including Olivia’s;
- and also (to quote the newly elected Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau’s recent words) because it’s 2015.

Here then are Olivia’s and my joint academic achievements over the past two years: presentations and publications. And at the end, a long list of colleagues whose help and encouragement has been instrumental along the way.

\* \* \* \* \*

## CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS, INVITED TALKS IN ENGLISH

(Abbreviations: peer-reviewed conference presentation **PeerConfP**, invited conference presentation **InvConfP**, invited colloquium **InvColl**, invited seminar **InvSem**, home institution talk **HomeT**.)

### 2015

“Revisiting a Problem for Possible-Worlds Analyses of Modality. A Case Against the If-Direction.”

1. **InvColl** at Institute for Logic, Language and Computation (ILLC), University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, October 2015.
2. **InvConfP** at *1st Belgrade Conference on Conditionals*, Philosophy Department, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, May 2015.

“Inadvertently Created Fictional Characters are Innocuous.”

3. **PeerConfP** at the conference *Modal Metaphysics: Issues on the (Im)Possible II*, Institute of Philosophy of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, September 2015. [the one event Olivia wasn’t in town for]
4. **InvColl** at the Institute of Philosophy of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, September 2015.

“Fictional Characters and Goodman’s Inadvertent Creation Challenge.”

5. **PeerConfP** at *PhiLang 2015*, 4th International Conference on Philosophy of Language and Linguistics, Department of English and General Linguistics, University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland, May 2015.
6. **InvColl** Center for the Study of Mind in Nature at University of Oslo (CSMN UiO), Oslo, Norway, November 2015.
7. “A Post-Kripkean Role for Identifying Descriptions.” **InvConfP** at the workshop: *Proper Names: Current Work in Linguistics and Philosophy of Language*, CEU Institute for Advanced Study, Budapest, May 2015.
8. “Relevance Theoretic Comprehension Procedures: Accounting for Metaphor and Malapropism.” **PeerConfP** (over skype) at the *8th AISB Symposium on Computing and Philosophy: The Significance of Metaphor and Other Figurative Modes of Expression and Thought*, AISB Convention 2015 (The Society for the Study of Artificial Intelligence and Behavior), University of Kent, Canterbury, Great Britain, April 2015.
9. “Why Think that Authors Create Fictional Characters?” **InvColl** at the *Departmental Colloquium* of the Department of Philosophy, University of Aberdeen, Great Britain, March 2015.
10. “Fictional Characters, Mythical Objects and Goodman’s Inadvertent Creation Challenge”. **InvSem** at the *Work in Progress Seminar*, Department of Philosophy, University of Aberdeen, Great Britain, March 2015.

### 2014

11. “Does the Name ‘Harry Potter’ Refer to Anything?” **InvSem** at the Department of Philosophy at Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, October 2014.

12. “Artifactualism and Authorial Creation.” **PeerConfP** at the conference *Modal Metaphysics: Issues on the (Im)Possible II*, Institute of Philosophy of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, October 2014.
- “Relevance Theory: Accounting for Metaphor and Malapropism.”
13. **InvColl** at the Institute of Philosophy of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, October 2014.
14. **HomeT** at the *MASZAT Colloquium Series (Round Table Society of Hungarian Semanticists)*, MTA Research Institute for Linguistics, Budapest, September 2014.
15. “Inferring Content: Metaphor and Malapropism.” **InvConfP** at the conference *Philosophy of Linguistics and Language X*. in the Special Session on Dan Sperber and Deirdre Wilson’s Philosophy of Language, Interuniversity Center Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 2014.
16. “Possible Worlds and Deontic Modality.” **InvConfP** at the *Language, Understanding, Interpretation* conference series, conference entitled “Leibniz’s *Monadology*,” Institute of Philosophy, ELTE, Budapest, September 2014.
- “Revisiting a Problem for Possible-Worlds Analyses of Modality: The Case for Entailment Rather Than Analysis.”
17. **HomeT** at LaPoM, Logic and Philosophy of Mathematics Student and Faculty Seminar, Department of Logic, Institute of Philosophy, ELTE, Budapest, November 2014.
18. **PeerConfP** at *Logic, Grammar, and Meaning*, a conference on philosophy, logic, and linguistics at the University of East Anglia, Institute of Philosophy, Norwich, Great Britain, June 2014.
19. **InvColl** *ELLMM City Talks* (organized by the *Epistemology, Language, Logic, Mind, and Metaphysics Group*), Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, U.S.A., May 2014.
20. **InvColl** *PhLing Talks*, Northwestern University, Department of Philosophy, Evanston, Illinois, U.S.A., April 2014.
21. “What Sort of Object is Harry Potter?” **InvColl** at the *Philosophy Academic Society*, University of Toronto at Mississauga, Canada, April 2014.

## CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS, INVITED TALKS IN HUNGARIAN

### 2015

1. “Relevance Theory about Metaphor and Malapropism.” **HomeT** Integrative Argumentation Studies OTKA Reading Group, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Department of Philosophy and History of Science, Budapest, April 2015.
2. “Understanding and Slips of the Tongue.” **InvConfP** at the conference *Meaning and Experience*, Kaposvár University, Kaposvár, January 2015.

## PUBLICATIONS IN ENGLISH

### ARTICLES

(Abbreviations: invited journal article **InvJournal**, invited journal article that was subsequently peer-reviewed **InvPeerJournal**, conference proceedings paper selected based on peer-review **PeerConfProc**.)

1. (2016) Fictional Characters, Mythical Objects and the Phenomenon of Inadvertent Creation. To appear in a special issue on fiction, Joshua T. Spencer (ed.), *Res Philosophica*, 2/93 April 2016. [https://www.pdcnet.org/pdc/bvdb.nsf/purchase?openform&fp=resphilosophica&id=resphilosophica\\_2015\\_0999\\_12\\_7\\_51](https://www.pdcnet.org/pdc/bvdb.nsf/purchase?openform&fp=resphilosophica&id=resphilosophica_2015_0999_12_7_51) (subscription only access) **InvPeerJournal**
2. (2015) Inferring Content: Metaphor and Malapropism. *Croatian Journal of Philosophy* 55/44 163–182. A special issue on Dan Sperber and Deirdre Wilson’s Philosophy of Language, Dunja Jutronić (ed.). **InvJournal**
3. (2015) An Argument for Authorial Creation. *Organon F* 22/4, 461–487. <http://www.klemens.sav.sk/fiusav/doc/organon/2015/4/461-487.pdf> **InvPeerJournal**
4. (2015) Artifactualism and Inadvertent Authorial Creation. *Proceedings of the European Society for Aesthetics* vol. 7/2015, 457–469, Fabian Dorsch and Dan-Eugen Ratiu (eds.). <http://www.eurosa.org/volumes/7/ESA-Proc-7-2015-Zvolenszky.pdf> **PeerConfProc**
5. (2015) Relevance Theoretic Inferential Procedures: Accounting for Metaphor and Malapropism. AISB Convention 2015 Proceedings (University of Kent, England), <http://www.cs.kent.ac.uk/events/2015/AISB2015/proceedings/philosophy/zvolenszky.pdf> **PeerConfProc**
6. (2015) Inadvertent Creation and Fictional Characters. *Organon F* 22/Supplementary Issue 1, 169–184. Supplementary Issue for Petr Kot’átko’s 60<sup>th</sup> birthday, Juraj Hvorecký (ed.). <http://www.klemens.sav.sk/fiusav/doc/organon/prilohy/2015/1/169-184.pdf> **InvJournal**
7. (2014) Artifactualism and Authorial Creation. *Proceedings of the European Society for Aesthetics*, vol. 6/2014, 457–469, Fabian Dorsch and Dan-Eugen Ratiu (eds.). <http://www.eurosa.org/volumes/6/ZvolenszkyESA2014.pdf> **PeerConfProc**
8. (2014) Conditionals, Dispositions, and Free Will. *Hungarian Philosophical Review* 58/4, 45–67. Special Issue: *Are We Free After All? Reading Huoranszki*, Tibor Bányi – András Szigeti (eds.). **InvPeerJournal**
9. (2013) Abstract Artifact Theory about Fictional Characters Defended — Why Sainsbury’s Category-Mistake Objection is Mistaken. *Proceedings of the European Society for Aesthetics* vol. 5/2013 597–612, Fabian Dorsch and Dan-Eugen Ratiu (eds.). <http://www.eurosa.org/volumes/5/ZvolenszkyESA2013.pdf> **PeerConfProc**

## PUBLICATIONS IN HUNGARIAN

### ARTICLES

(Abbreviations: invited journal article **InvJournal**, peer-reviewed journal article **PeerJournal**, invited journal article that was subsequently peer-reviewed **InvPeerJournal**, invited book chapter **BookChap**.)

1. (in press) Relevance Theory’s Argument about a Literal-Loose-Metaphorical Continuum: What Linguistic Errors Show. [A relevanciaelmélet szó szerinti–laza–metaforikus kontinuumára vonatkozó érv: A nyelvi hibák, avagy milyen problémával jár, ha azt mondjuk, hogy valakit „Kentuckyban megpárikáztak”?] In: *Metaphor, Relevance, Meaning* [Metafora, Relevancia, Jelentés.], Tibor Bányi, Zsófia Zvolenszky, János Tőzsér (eds.), Budapest: Loisir. **BookChap**
2. (in press) Relevance Theory and the Literal–Metaphorical Continuum—In the Light of Texts Available in Hungarian. [Relevanciaelmélet és a szó szerinti–metaforikus kontinuum – A magyar nyelvű szövegek tükrében] Written with Tibor Bányi. In: *Metaphor, Relevance, Meaning* [Metafora, Relevancia, Jelentés.], Tibor Bányi, Zsófia Zvolenszky, János Tőzsér (eds.), Budapest: Loisir, 2015. **BookChap**
3. (2015) Possible Worlds and Deontic Modality: An Argument Against the Leibnizian Biconditional Analysis. [Lehetséges világok és deontikus modalitás: Érv a leibnizi bikondicionális elemzés

ellen] *Magyar Filozófiai Szemle* 59/1 55–77. Special Issue: *Monadológia* [Monadology], Dániel Schmal (ed.). **InvPeerJournal**

4. (2015) Fictional Characters as Abstract Artifacts II: The Case for Authorial Creation. [Gombóc Artúr mint emberalkotta absztrakt tárgy 2: Egy érv a szerzői alkotás mellett.] In: *Understanding, Explanation, Realism*, Miklós Márton, Gábor Molnár, János Tózsér (eds.), Budapest: L'Harmattan, 193–208. **BookChap**
5. (2014) Philosophers' Myth about John Searle's Theory of Proper Names. [Egy filozófiai mítosz John Searle névelméletéről.] In: *Studies in General Linguistics* Vol. XXVI., János Kelemen, István Kenesei (eds.), Budapest: Akadémiai, 211–238. **BookChap**
6. (2014) A Text Through One's Own Eyes: Searle and 'Proper Names'. [Egy szöveg saját szemmel.] In: *Understanding as a Vocation. Essays for Ágnes Erdélyi's 70<sup>th</sup> Birthday*, Tibor Bárány, Zsuzsa Gáspár, István Margócsy, Orsolya Reich, Ádám Vér (eds.), Budapest: L'Harmattan, 466–478. **BookChap**

#### EDITED VOLUME

1. (in press) *Metaphor, Relevance, Meaning*. [Metafora, Relevancia, Jelentés.] Edited with Tibor Bárány, János Tózsér. Budapest: Loisir.

#### BOOK REVIEW

1. (2015) Elementary, My Dear Kripke? [Pofonegyszerű, kedves Kripkém?] (Saul Kripke: *Reference and Existence*) *Műút* 60/5/2015053 86–89, (*Kiskáté* series, guest editor: Tibor Bárány).
2. (2015) Elementary, My Dear Kripke? [Pofonegyszerű, kedves Kripkém?] (Saul Kripke: *Reference and Existence*) longer online edition. *Műút* (*Kiskáté* series, guest editor: Tibor Bárány). <http://www.muut.hu/?p=15361>

\*\*\*\*\*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I'm grateful to many colleagues for their support during my joint journey with Olivia:

Maria Aloni, Jon Anstein Olsen, Hajnal Andréka, Ágnes Bende-Farkas, Brian Ball, Guido Bacciagaluppi, Thomas Bak, Mária Bálint, Zsuzsanna Balogh, Chris Barker, John Barnden, Zolt Bátor, Judit Bayer, Éva Bekő, László Bene, Hanoch Ben-Yami, László Bernáth, Gábor Bezeczký, Anne Bezuidenhout, Lukáš Bielik, Tamás Bitai, Gregory Bochner, Paul Boghossian, Johannes Brandl, Thomas Brouwer, Vojislav Bozickovic, Matthew Cameron, Robyn Carston, Millinee Chaisrihar, Ágnes Chambre, Gennaro Chierchia, Tadeusz Ciecierski, John Collins, Liza Császár, Gergely Csibra, Pamela Corcoran, Justin D'Ambrosio, Anthony Dardis, Michael De, Paul Dekker, Laura Delgado, Michael Devitt, Tamás Dezső, Kata Diószegi, Dušan Dožudić, György Drótos, Kenneth Ehrenberg, David Enoch, Péter Érdi, Anamaria Falas, Andrew Gargett, Ingrid Lossius Falkum, Chris Fox, Delia Graf Fara, István Faragó-Szabó, Katalin Farkas, Szilvia Finta, Gábor Fehér, Márta Fehér, Zoltán Fejes, Nenad Filipovic, Kit Fine, Éva Fodor, Gábor Forgács, Bálint Forgács, Mirela Fuš, Péter Galicza, Katalin Gáll, Christopher Gauker, Éva Gedeon, Judit Gera, Dávid Gergely, György Gergely, Geoff Georgi, Verbena Giambastini, Kathrin Glüer, Michael Glanzberg, Ephraim Glick, Jeffrey Goodman, Márton Gömöri, Éva Gönczi, Aidan Gray, Vit Grozdiak, Ákos Gyarmathy, Péter György, Carsten Hansen, Nikoletta Házas, Eszter Hegedűs, Alexander Hieke, Tomáš Hříbek, Torfinn Huvenes, Zsófia Illés, Magdalena Balcerak Jackson, Pierre Jacob, Zoltán Jakab, Sára Jellinek, László Jenei, Hans Kamp, György Kampis, Tamar Kalandadze-Tabakhmelashvili, Zolt Kapelner, Jessica Keiser, István Kenesei, Virág Kéri, Katarzyna Kijania-Placek, Dirk Kindermann, Jeff King, Ildikó Király, Ivana Klimová, András Kóczán, Andrea Komlósi, Kepa Korta, Eszter Körtvélyesi, Zolt Körtvélyesi, Philip Kremer, Robin Jeshion, Nathaniel Jezi, Ábel Kaszián, Gábor Kovács, Benedek Láng, David Liggins, Federico Lucci, Josep Macià, Ofra Magidor, Anna-Sara Malmgren, Emar Maier, Aleksandra Majdzińska, Márton Makrai, Katarina Maksimovic, Gary Marcus, Tihamér Margitay, István Margócsy, Teresa Marques, Tomáš Marvan, Rebecca Mason, Gábor



Matula, Ora Matushansky, Róbert Mátyási, Gail Mauner, Diana Mazzarella, Péter Mekis, Béla Mester, Vivien Mező, Eliot Michaelson, Orsolya Mikola, Nenad Mišćević, Attila Molnár, Cecília Molnár, Kristóf Molnár, Attila Mráz, Jessie Munton, Julien Murzi, László Nemes, Attila László Nemesi, István Németi, Cory Nichols, Krisztián Nyárfádi, Martine Nida-Rümelin, Daniel Nolan, Joanna Odrowąż-Sypniewska, Csaba Olay, Imre Orthmayr, Elizabeth Page, Peter Pagin, Kim Phillips Pedersen, Léna Pellandini-Simányi, László Percz, Olga Peredi, Ildikó Pieczka, Mark Pinder, David Pitt, Olga Poller, Jenő Pöntör, Duško Prelević, Eva Rafetseder, Ryszard Rasiński, Péter Rebrus, François Recanati, Gábor Recski, Orsolya Reich, Dóra Reményi, Elizabeth Riddle, Jacopo Romoli, László Ropolyi, Ferenc Ruzsa, Ildikó Sain, Nathan Salmon, Julia Sántha, László Sántha, Dániel Schmal, Anders Schoubye, Laura Simon-Szabó, Daniel Skibra, Brad Skow, Barry C. Smith, Gergő Somodi, Joshua Spencer, Rachel Sterken, Andreas Stokke, Paula Sweeney, Piotr Stalmaszczyk, Ed Stein, Una Stojnic, Dávid Such, Péter Susánszky, Áron Szabó Krisztina Szabó, Zsuzsa Szabó, Veronika Szántó, Alexandra Szarka, Karolina Szín, Tuomas Tahko, Anne Tamm, János Tanács, Gábor Tasnádi, Ernő Téglás, Matthew Teichman, Katalin Tihanyi, Andrea Tímár, Josefa Toribio, Stephan Torre, Erzsébet Mátyás Tóth, Gabriella Tuboly-Vincze, Ken Turner, Tamás Ullmann, Kornélia Vargha, Dániel Vásárhelyi, Zoltán Vecsey, Frank Veltman, Ádám Vér, Nóra Vető, Emanuel Viebahn, Ágnes Volein, Athena Vouloumanos, Jonathan Weisberg, Malte Willer, Nathan Wildman, Timothy Williamson, Áron Xantus, Gábor Xantus, Andy Yu and Dan Zeman.

For their support as well as friendship and encouragement over the past two years, I thank the following colleagues:

Nicholas Allott, Gergely Ambrus, Andrea Balázs, Tibor Bárány, Judit Bárdos, Sara Bernstein, Mátyás Bódig, István Bodnár, Gábor Borbély, Gábor Boros, Lee Bowie, David Braun, Ray Buchanan, Eyja Brynjarsdóttir, Herman Cappelen, Fabrizio Cariani, Janet Carter, B. Chandrasekaran, Nate Charlow, Stewart Cohen, István Danko, Wayne Davis, Marcel den Dikken, Henriette Dinók, Vladan Djordjevic, Ágnes Erdélyi, Julian Fink, Gábor Forrai, Manuel Garcia-Carpintero, Dorit Geva, Kinga Gárdai, Hans-Martin Gärtner, Tamar Szabó Gendler, Zsófia Gyarmathy, Beáta Gyuris, Irma Hvorecká, Brendan Balcerak Jackson, Dunja Jutronic, László Kálmán, Ágnes Kelemen, János Kelemen, Angelika Kiss, Éva Kopper, András Kornai, Petr Kotátko, Alexandra Kowalski, Angelika Kratzer, Judit Kuti, Gábor Kutrovác, Peter Lakner, Aaron Lambert, Brian Leahy, Márta Maleczki, Réka Markovich, Miklós Márton, András Máté, Zoltán Miklósi, Andrei Nasta, Gary Ostertag, Jelena Ostojic, Csaba Pléh, Szabolcs Pogonyi, Mihaela Popa, Jiří Raclavský, Gurpreet Rattan, Anna Réz, Paolo Santorio, Zoltán Sarnyai, Joshua Schechter, Yumi Selden, Adam Sennet, Maria Serban, Olga Sezneva, András Simonyi, Eva Sobotka, Kornél Steiger, Isidora Stojanovic, László E. Szabó, Zita Szabó, Judit Szalai, János Tózsér, Ádám Tuboly, Márta Ujvári, Martin Vacek, Károly Varasdi, Lee Walters, Deirdre Wilson, Gábor Zemlén and Marián Zouhar.

For immeasurable help, encouragement and inspiration over the past two years, I owe special thanks to 7 linguists and philosophers who had faith in my philosophical aptitude even before I did, and who made me believe—against the Hungarian tide—that I could simultaneously pursue philosophy and motherhood:

Juraj Hvorecký, Karen Lewis, Craige Roberts, Dan Sperber, Jason Stanley, Anna Szabolcsi and Zoltán Gendler Szabó.

I'd also like to thank my friends outside my academic community as well as my family, including three rabbits.