

The Indispensability of Logic

Nenad Miscevic

vismiscevic@ceu.hu

Central European University, University of Maribor

The paper discusses the currently prominent strategy of justifying our elementary logical-inferential practices by their unavoidability and global indispensability for all our cognitive efforts. It starts by agreeing with prominent apriorists about their attempt to justify such beliefs either from naturalistic computationalist considerations of unavoidability (inevitability) (Horwich) or from constitutiveness (Boghossian) or from global indispensability Argument (C. Wright), and then proceeds to argue that unavoidable and indispensable tools provide entitlement/justification for projects if projects are themselves meaningful. However, we are justified to think that our most general cognitive project is meaningful, and justified partly of the basis of its up to date success; and this basis is *a posteriori*. Therefore, the whole reflective justification from compellingness and unavoidability is *a posteriori*. This suggests that the justification of our intuitional armchair beliefs and practices in general is plural and structured, with *a priori* and *a posteriori* elements combined in a complex way. It seems thus that *a priori*/*a posteriori* distinction is useful and to the point. What is needed is refinement and respect for structure, not rejection of the distinction.